

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,917	01/11/2001	Ashok Tehim	T8466360US3	9294
75	90 03/12/2003			
Carolyn S. Elmore HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. Two Militia Drive			EXAMINER	
			HUANG, EVELYN MEI	
Lexington, MA 02421-4799			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/758,917	TEHIM ET AL.				
Advisory Addish	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evelyn Huang	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offict timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	. ,,,	,,				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: see attachment.		• •				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 9-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-19.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappi	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	et(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	.				
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
		Evelyn/Huang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625	ang			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/758,917

Art Unit: 1625

Attachment to Advisory Action

- 1. Applicant requests that claims 14-19 be considered as they are drawn to a single inventive concept. On the contrary, the application contains claims to more than one of the combination of categories of invention since it contains 6 different alternative processes of use. The restriction as indicated is therefore improper.
- 2. The amended claim 1 would be rejected under 112 first paragraph because the compound in proviso (ii), i.e. the compound wherein when R3 is nitro, R1 is benzyl is not described in the specification.
- 3. The deletion of the prior art compound of Costi as in the amended claim 1 would overcome the 102(b) rejection over Costi.
- 4. The provisionally obviousness-type double patenting over the corresponding claims of copending Application No. 09/457606 is now obviousness-type double patenting over US Patent No. 6492380. Applicant maintains that the present amendment has set a demarcation from the allowed claims of the patent. The method claims in the patent are species within the instant generic method claim, wherein R1 is loweralkyl carbonate (a definition of which is not found the specification, but compound of R1 being acetic acid is described as preferred embodiment on page 9 of the specification)
- 5. The amendment would obviate the 103(a) rejection over Brana (4204063) since the compound, 1,3-dioxo-5-nitro-N-propylmorpholino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[I]-isoquinoline, has been deleted from the amended claim 5.
- 6. The amendment would overcome the 103(a) rejection over Sestanj I (3821383, PTO-1449) since the ethyl ester of 5-nitro- 1,3-dioxo-1H-benz[de]isoquinoline-2(H)-acetic acid has been excluded from the amended claim 5

Art Unit: 1625

- 7. The rejection for Claims 1-6, 9-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sestanj I (3821383, PTO-1449) in view of Malizia (EP 206322, PTO-1449) would be maintained for amended claims 1-3, 9-12 because the compound in the amended claims wherein R1 is loweralkyl carbonate still encompasses the prior art compound wherein R1 is acetic or propionic acid.
- 8. The rejection for Claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sestanj I (3821383, PTO-1449) in view of Malizia (EP 206322, PTO-1449) and further in view of Bundgaard would be obviated by the deletion of 3-nitro-1,8-(N-propioncarboxylate) succinamidonapthalene from the amended claim 13. However, further consideration/search may be required in view of the amendment.
- 9. The rejection for Claim 5, 6 are under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph would be maintained because some of the compounds, such as the 4th, 5th, 8th compound of amended claim 5 and the 3rd and 4th compound of the amended claim 6, have no antecedent basis in the base claims 1 and 2 respectively.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn Huang whose telephone number is 703-305-7247. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman can be reached on 703-308-4698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Evelyn Huang

Printary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

March 7, 2003